*Previous references.*

**File No.** 103
of 1903-04.

**1904**

(III Courts)

*Later references.*

111 05 / Courts 1-4 By B. 11. 05.

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

## Serial Nos. 1 to 7

**PART B FOR** December 04

SUBJECT.

Proposals regarding the reduction of the Small Causes jurisdiction of Subjudges & the re-establishment of Munsiffs Courts at Chicacole Vizagapatam etc -

P. T. O

## FILE No. 103 of 1903-04.

*Proposals regarding the reduction of the small cause jurisdiction of Sub-Judges and the re-establishment of Munsiffs' Courts at Chikmagalur, etc.*

1 Petition, dated 8th April 1904, from B. J. Saldana and other Residents of Kadur.
State that the small cause jurisdiction of the Sub-Judges of Chitaldrug and Chikmagalur is too high and pray the re-establishment of Munsiffs' Courts at those places instead, with original jurisdiction upto Rs 2,500 and small cause jurisdiction up to Rs. 50 and of a Sub-Judge's Court at Kadur with appellate jurisdiction over the Munsiffs of Yedahalli, Chitaldrug and Chikmagalur.

2 To the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Official Memo. No. J. 2423, dated 14th May 1904.
Forwards Serial No. 1 for favor of the Chief Court's opinion on the proposals of the petitioners, for early action being taken in the matter.

3 To the Registrar, Chief Court, No. J. 2648, dated 7th June 1904.
Requests the favor of the Chief Court's opinion the representation made at the Dasara Assembly in 1903, regarding the reduction of the small cause Jurisdiction of the Sub-Judges to Rs. 100.

4 From the Registrar of the Chief Court, No. 213, dated 12th July 1904.
States that in the opinion of the Chief Court, no objections should be listened to until the jurisdiction already settled has had a prolonged trial and has been found unsatisfactory a majority of classes, and that the petition under reference (Serial No. 1) signed by under twenty persons ought not to be considered as of any value.

5 From the same, No. 267, dated 13th July 1904.
States that in the absence of any cases of the Sub-Judges having abused their powers, the Chief Court can see no reason for reducing the small cause powers with which they were only lately invested.

6 To the Registrar of the Chief Court, No. J. 1561, dated 16th December 1904.
Observes that, though public opinion is opposed to the present limit at which the small cause jurisdiction of the Sub-Judges is placed, no good grounds exist for a change in the said limit of jurisdiction, and declines therefore to interfere therewith; but requests that Government may be furnished with certain statistics in order to enable them to reconsider the question on its merits.

7 To B. J. Saldanha and others (petitioners.) No. 1562, dated 16th December 1904.
Informs them that the establishment of a Munsiff's Court at Chitaldrug has been sanctioned, and that their other requests cannot be accorded to.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any) 103 of 1903-04.

*Directions.*

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Serial No. 1

# Office Note.

Branch Judicial

Subject Re-establishment of Munsiff's Court at Chikmagalur and Chitaldrug in place of the Sub Judge's Courts now at those places and the establishment of a Sub Judge's Court at Kadur with appellate jurisdiction over these two Munsiff's Courts ——

Petition No 2310 dated 8th April 1904 from M/s Salsan & 5 Mrs Residents of Kadur praying for the ——

1. The petitioners state that much inconvenience is caused to them by the Sub Judge being given Small Cause jurisdiction up to Rs 300 as a large number of cases fall within that limit and that the Sub Judge does the same amount of work as the Munsiff formerly did, as the suits exceeding Rs 2500 in value are very few.

2. They propose the following arrangements for the favorable Consideration of Govt:—

(1) The establishment of Munsiff's Court

Courts formerly at Chikmaglur and Chitaldrug with original Civil jurisdiction upto Rs 2500 & Small Cause jurisdiction upto Rs 50, in the place of the present Subordinate Judges Courts at these places.

2. The establishment of a Sub Judges Court at Kadur with unlimited jurisdiction in suits exceeding Rs 2500 in value in case the Dist of Kadur and Chitaldrug and appellate jurisdiction over the decisions of the Munsiffs at Tarikere, Chikmaglur and Chitaldrug.

3. They state that if the above arrangements are effected, it would be found to the convenience of the people of their parts and would not entail extra expenditure to Govt. They further state that by the above arrangements, the work of the Shimoga Dist Court, would be materially decreased.

4. The existing jurisdiction of the Sub Judges of Chikmaglur and Chitaldrug are contained in Govt Notfn No 3861 dt 13/12/02

Submitted for orders

19/4/1904

Copy M.

5- The petition may be approved, be forwarded to the Chief Court for favour of remarks.

Secy
19/4/04

6. Submd to the Diwan through the Secy

The sub Judges Courts in question were only recently established, and it is doubtful whether it is desirable so soon to consider proposals of the kind now under refce

19/4/04

7. There is something in what the Petitioners say, more especially in the case of Alamit as regards the Small Cause Jurisdiction being pitched too high - The question is under consideration. In the meantime I see no harm in sending the petition to the Chief Court for disposal or if necessary for opinion -

21/4/04

Read 24/4/04 Yet again

18490 File No 1038 of 1903 & 4.

8. Yes - Send to the Chief Court. Say that the complaint is very general that the small cause jurisdiction is pitched high and that a reduction of it is very desirable - Ask the opinion of the Chief Court of this for early and carefully considered. The other proposals may also be considered

10.V.04

12/5/04

Draft submitted
12/5/04

Serial No. 2 - Accordingly was issued Letter No. 2423 dated the 14 May 1904 to the Registrar, Chief Court, forwarding the petition with the observation that the complaint that the small Cause jurisdiction of the sub Judges is pitched too high is very general, that an early reduction is very desirable and that the views of the Judges of the Court may be obtained

Serial No. 3 Letter No. 213 dated the 12th July 1904. From the Registrar stating that the Judges would not recommend a sudden change of jurisdiction settled so lately, that as some party is likely to be inconvenienced by a new Settlement no objection should be listened to

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 103 of 1903-04.

Serial No.

until the jurisdiction settled has had a prolonged trial and has been found unsatisfactory to a majority of all classes. and that the present application for the alteration of the present jurisdiction cannot be considered as of any value.

Yt is closely connected with this, the suggestion made at the last Dasara Representative Assembly, Subject No. 41 of the D.R.A (1903), and the rules from the Registrar in the matter of reduction of the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judges ~~at~~ to Rs 100/- may be referred to here.

1/ Letter No. 2648 dated the 7th June 1904. to the Registrar, Chief Court.

Requests him to obtain & forward to Government the opinion of the Judges on Subject No. 41 of the D.R.A Proc (1903), viz. the reduction of the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judges to Rs 100/-

2/ From the Registrar, Chief Court, No. 267/23 Dated the 13th July 1904.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 103 of 1903 04

Serial No.

States that when no case of abuse of their powers has been pointed out and when the subjects have only lately been invested with the small Cause powers up to Rs 300/- the Court can see no reason for reduction of their powers.

10. Submitted for orders with a draft endorsement to the Petitioners and a draft recording the opinion of the Chief Court on the latter subject

DS 18/7 for PK 18.7.04

Adhesive Rs 1-0-0

18/7/04

99 19-7-04

11. The present arrangement may be given a further trial in deference to the opinion of the Chief Court - Draft approved.

19-7-04.

Draft submitted with adhesive labels for signature

20-7-1904

2 drafts)

367 20.7.04. 3518

Mr 20/7/04

Mr Maconochie - What the probable opinion is regarding [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.



(-x- for continuation, see page 9 infra.)

G.R.S. [illegible]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 103 of 1906 08

7.

Serial No.

Bangalore
25th July 190[illegible]

My dear Mr. Shama Rao,

Yours of this date.
Please find overleaf the
figures required by you

Yours sincerely
D Rajatadhyaksha

S.C. Cases - dealt with under Lr. 62 C.P.C.
1901 - 2 - 8091 - 80
1902 - 3 - 7818 - 60.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

Serial No.

S.C. Lists filed
for 1st Jan to 30th June 1903.

1. Lock Ch. Bungalow — #436 — 11
2. Hassan — 2854 — "
3. Chikmagalur — 286 — 1
4. Chikballap — 289. — "

Bangalore
10th August 190

My dear Mr Lhanna Rao,

Yours of the 2nd.

I append overleaf the information required by you.

Yours sincere
D Ray Attash

Serial No.

against the S. C. jurisdiction having been pushed so high as 300 ~~44~~ - that the Chief Court may therefore be sought for any change. The Statistics collected support the Ch. Court. During 284 S. C suits ~~filed~~ decided in the Hazara Court from Jan 4 to June 1903 (Later figures were said not to be available) no cases went up in revision to the Ch. Court. During the same period out of 286 cases in Chiefs only one went up to the Ch. Court. Under these circumstances no revision to be referred to the Govt of India - that at the ending the 4th year 1904 a 5 th year figures ~~be~~ may be obtd again - & the question reconsidered -

9. XII. 04

Draft accepted
10.12.1904

Draft of an order and a Draft to Govt of India of Hazara in reply to their petition are put up.

14/12/04

14/12/04

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

Serial No.

6. To the Registrar, Chief Court. Jud. Dept. No. J/1561 d/16-12-04.

Observes that tho' public opinion is opposed to the present limit at which the S.C. jurisdiction of the Sub-Judges is placed, no good grounds exist for a change in the said limit of the jurisdiction and declines therefore to interfere therewith; but requests that Govt. might be furnished with certain statistics in order to enable them to reconsider the question on its merits.

7. To B.J. Saldanha, Attn. No 1569, d/16-12-04.

Informs, that the establishment of a Commissioner Court at Chitaldrug has been sanctioned, and that their other requests cannot be acceded to.



MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

File No 103-403-04 Sl 1
Serial No 1.

37a

J 1593.

11

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 2310R
Date 8-4-1904

2310

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಬಾಲ್ಭಂಡಾರದ ಮೆಹರ್ ಜಾನ್
ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಬಿನ್ನಹ

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ತಡಗಾಲ ಅಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯ ವಾಸಸ್ಥ
ರು ತಮ್ಮ ಧರ್ಮಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವರೇನೆಂದರೆ

1. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಚಿಕ್ಕವಾಳಗಳು ಭವನಸ್ಥರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣಾಂತರ
ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರಗಳ ವರೆಗಿನ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗ ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ್ಕರನ್ನು ತಿರುಗಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಥ
ರವಾಗಿ ಅನಸ್ಪೃಂಟ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಜಡ್ಜಿ ಪವರ್ಸ್ ಸಮೇತವಾಗಿ ಸಬ ಜಡ್ಜಿಯವರ
ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ತನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಈ ಅಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜಾಗಳಾದ
ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಅನಕೂಲಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬುಂಟುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ದೃಷ್ಟಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾವು
ಗಳಿಸ್ಕಾರು ಸೈರಜ್ಞಾರಾಗಿದ್ದೇವೆ

2. ಹಂಪನ್ನ ಚಿಕ್ಕವಾಳಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಈ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಯಿಸುವದಾಗಿ ಸಬ ಜಡ್ಜಿ ಕೋರ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿರುವದರಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದ
ಳ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಶೀಘ್ರವಾಗಿಯೂ ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿಯೂ ನ್ಯಾಯಗಳು ತೀ
ರಿವವಾಗಿ ತನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅನಕೂಲಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಂತೆಯೇ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ
ಇದೆ ಘನವುಳ್ಳ ಮೈಸೂರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇದ್ದೇಶ್ಯ ವಾಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ
ಈ ಇದ್ದೇಶವು ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ನೆರವೇರಿಸಲು ನಾವುಗಳು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಂದ
ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವದೇನೆಂದರೆ

ಈ ಸಬ ಜಡ್ಜಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮುನ್ಸಿಫರು ರಾಜ್ಯ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಮಾಲ್ ಕಾಸ್
ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಡೋಣವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಚ್ಛೆಯಿ ವಾಸ್ತವ್ಯಗಳ ಮೊಬಲ
ಗು ಮುನ್ಸಿಫರು ರಾಜ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಕ್ಕೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನೇಕ
ಕ ಭಾಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಾನುಕೂಲ ವಾಗುವಕ್ಕೆ

1 మున్సిపల్ చైర్మన్ ఎన్నిక జరిగిన తరువాత ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎన్నికైన చైర్మన్ చేత ప్రమాణ స్వీకారం చేయించి ఆ తరువాత ఎన్నికైన చైర్మన్ చేత వైస్ చైర్మన్ చేత ప్రమాణ స్వీకారం చేయించి ఆ తరువాత ఎన్నికైన చైర్మన్ వైస్ చైర్మన్ మున్సిపల్ కౌన్సిలర్లు ఎన్నికల అధికారి సమక్షంలో ప్రమాణ స్వీకారం చేయించాలి.

ఆ తర్వాత చిన్న చిన్న వాళ్ళు పెద్ద చిన్న దుకాణాలు ఎన్నికల అధికారి సమక్షంలో చైర్మన్ వైస్ చైర్మన్ కౌన్సిలర్ల చేత ప్రమాణ స్వీకారం చేయించాలి. ఆ తర్వాత ఎన్నికల అధికారి సమక్షంలో చైర్మన్ వైస్ చైర్మన్ కౌన్సిలర్లు ప్రమాణ స్వీకారం చేసిన తరువాత

File No. [illegible] of 03-04.

Serial No. 2. SL 2

# MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 3423
D. J. 126 02-13

## OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 2310
dated the 8th April 1904 received at the
~~Dewan's Office~~ Secretariat from B. G. Haldankar & Others residents of Kadur Complaining that much inconvenience is caused to them by the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judge at Chikmagalur being fixed at Rs 300 & praying for the re-establishment of Munsiff's Courts at Chikmagalur & Chitaldrug & of a Sub Judge's Court at Kadur

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
enclosures, to the Registrar of the High Court of Mysore with the observation that the Complaint is very general that the Small Cause jurisdiction is fixed too high and ~~that~~ the early reduction of it very desirable. It is requested that Govt may be favoured with the opinion of the Chief Court in the matter for early action being taken. The other proposals of the Petitioners may also be considered.

By order,

13.5.04

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
The 14th May ~~1903~~ 1904

File No 103 of 03-04
Serial No 43. SL 3 15

To

The Registrar
Chief Court

Subj: No. 41 of the D.R.A. Progs of 1903

Dated 7th June 1904.
No 2648

The Small Cause jurisdiction of the Sub Judge, may be increased to Rs 100.

Will 3.VI.04

With reference to the marginal subject, I have the honor to state that there is a general feeling in favor of a reduction of the pecuniary limit of the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Sub-Judge, and He is requested to kindly obtain and forward to Government before the beginning of October next the opinion of the Chief Court on the subject.

By order
4/6/04
Secretary.

Typed 6/6/04

Sl 4.

16.

Vr 213/22 Sr:1

Bangalore 12th July 1904.

From, File No 163 of 04-05.
Serial No 24

V. N. Rajanathan Moodeliar Esquire,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

J 118

To,

B. K. Venkatavaradayer Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore.
General and Revenue Deptt.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No: 2423 / F. 12 of 1902-3 dated the 14th May 1904 in re the newly established Sub Courts, I am directed to state that the Judges would not recommend a sudden change of a jurisdiction settled so lately as December 1902. It appears to them that no matter how jurisdiction is settled some one must be inconvenienced or would be more inconvenienced by a new settlement and, in their opinion, no objection should be listened to until the jurisdiction settled has had a prolonged trial and has been found unsatisfactory.

to

to a majority of all classes. The application for alteration of the present jurisdiction, which is signed by under twenty persons, cannot, I am to add, be considered as of any value.

The Petition received with your letter under reply is returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant;

— de Reg[illegible] Attorney

Register.

Wm

Serial No 5

No 267
23

J 132

18

Bangalore.
13th July 1904.

From

V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar Esquire,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. K. Venkata Varada Iyengar Esquire,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
Gen: and Rev: Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your No 2648 J dated the 7th ultimo, calling for the opinion of this Court on the suggestion made at the last Dasara Representative Assembly, that the Small Cause Jurisdiction of Sub Judges may be reduced to Rs 100/- I am directed to state that, when no case of abuse of their powers has been pointed out, and when the Sub Judges have only lately been invested with Small Cause powers upto Rs 300/- this Court can see no reason for reduction of their powers.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

V Rajaratna Mudaliar
Registrar

mlw

S16 19

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 103/03
Serial No. 6

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( Courts )

, the 16/12/04 No.

Date of issue 16/12/04

Signed by Mr. K.S. Chandra Sekhara Aiyar.

Seen
17-12-04

READ.—

~~The Hon~~ Dr Chet No. 2648 dated the 9th June 1904, of the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, requesting ~~the favour of his~~ to obtain ~~his~~ and forwarding ~~to~~ the opinion of the Chief Court on the question of the reduction of the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judges (Subject No. 41 of the R. A Proc. for 1903).

ORDER THEREON.—No.

2. Letter No. 267/23 dated the 13th July 1904, from the Registrar of the Chief Court stating that when no case of abuse

*over*

SUBJECT

of their powers by the Subjudges has been pointed out, and when the Subjudges have only lately been invested with Small Cause powers, the Chief Court can see no reason for the reduction of their powers.

3. Docs from the Dacca Circle & the 25th July 1904 and the 10th August 1904, furnishing a statement of Small Cause Suits filed in the several Subjudges' Courts.

Ref Margin:— 9/1561 C.1030/03-04 8/16/12/04.

Government of Bengal wish to know whether though public opinion is [illegible] opposed to any change of nature the present limit at which the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subjudges is placed, the statistics collected support the view of the Chief Court that no good grounds exist for a change in the said jurisdiction. Out of 284 Small

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Cause suits decided in the Chief Court from January to June 1903 (later figures are not ~~being~~ available) no Cause seem to have gone up in revision, while during the same period, out of 286 Cases in Thickmayer only one went up to the Chief Court.

2. Under these circumstances, the Government do not, at present, see sufficient reason to interfere with the existing limit of the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Chief Court is however, requested to furnish at the end of the current official year with a statement showing separately the number of Small Cause suits (of the value of 500 Rs and above but below 1000) filed in the several Subordinate Courts and the number of each kind that came up to the Chief Court in revision from the respective Courts, in order to enable the Government further to consider the question on its merits.

14.12.03
Secretary

To
The Registrar of the Chief Court.

No
15/12/03
Tm
14/12/03

Copied by. 15/12/04.

Examined by. 15/12/04

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Cause suits decided in the Court
Court from January to June
1903 (latest figures are not
available) the Cases seem to
have gone up in revision, which
during the same period, out of
986 Cases in Chiknayakanhalli only
one went up to the Chief Court.

2. Under these circumstances,
the Government do not, at present,
see sufficient reason to interfere with
the existing limit of the Small Cause Jurisdiction of the Chief Court; but is however,
requested to report at the
end of the current official
year with a statement showing separately the
number of Small Cause suits filed in the
several Subordinate Courts and the
number of such Cases out of the
Chief Court in revision from the respective Courts,
in order to enable the Government
further to consider the question on its merits.

14.12.1904
Secretary

To
The Registrar of the Chief Court.

ND
13/12/04.
EW
14/12/04

of their powers by the Subjudges has been pointed out, and when the Subjudges have only lately been invested with Small Cause powers, the Chief Court can see no reason for the reduction of their powers.

3. Docs from the Dacca Offices of the 25th July 1904 and the 10th August 1904, furnishing a statement of Small Cause Suits filed in the several Subjudges' Courts.

Ref Thereon:— 9/1561 / C.1030/03-04 8/12/04.

Government observe that though the public opinion is [illegible] opposed to the present limit at which the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subjudges is placed, the statistics collected support the view of the Chief Court that no good grounds exist for a change in the said jurisdiction. Out of 284 Small

S & G 17

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 103/13 04
Serial No. 6

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Courts)

, the 16/12/04 190.

Date of issue 16/12/04

Signed by M.K.S. Chandra Sekhara Aiyar.

Seen
17-12-04

READ.—

This Dept ~~letter~~ D. Chief No. 2648 dated the 9th June 1904, of the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, requesting ~~the favour of his~~ Maharaja and forwarding ~~by~~ specimen of the Chief Court on the question of the reduction of the Original Civil Jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judges [illegible] (Abstract No. 41 of the R. A Proc. for 1903).

2. Letter No. 767/73 dated the 13th July 1904 from the Registrar of the Chief Court stating that when no case of a breach

over

SUBJECT

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಮುಂಗಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನಸುಪ್ತಿ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಇದ್ದ ಎರಡು ಸಾವಿರದ ಅನ್ನಸಾಮಾ ಮಾಡಿ
ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳಾಗಿ ಹೊಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸಾಲಿ ಸಬ ಜಾಲ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಘ
ಸಾವಿರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ವಳಗೆ ಇರಲಕ್ಕೆ ವಾಸ್ತವಿಕವು ಬಡಳ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಸೊಟ್ಟ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮುಂಗಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನಸುಪ್ತಿಯವು ಮಾಡಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನ
ರಾಜಬಲ್ಲಿಗಳು ಮುಸ್ಸಾರು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ವರೆಗಿನ ಸ್ಟೇಟಸ್ಸು ಮುಂದಿನ
ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಎದ್ದಾರೆ -

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಚಿಕ್ಕವಳಕ್ಕೂರು ಮಾಡಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕದಾಗಿ-ರಾ ಎಂಬರಡು
ಕಡೆಗಳನ್ನಿರುವ ಎರಡು ಸಬ ಜಾಲ್ತಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಮುಂಗಡ
ದಂತೆ ಅಂದ್ರೆ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ವರೆಗಿನ ಸ್ಟೇಟಸ್ಸು ಮುಂದಿನ ಎರ
ಡು ಸಾವಿರದ ಅನ್ನಸಾರು ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ವರೆಗಿನ ಶೇಖರ ಜಾರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ನಿರ್ಣಯ
ರಾ ಎಂಬರಡು ಕಡೆಗಳನ್ನ ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ, ಕಡಿಮೆಯನ್ನ ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಎಂದು
ಸಬ ಜಾಲ್ತಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕದಾಗಿ
-ರಾ ಎಂಬರಡು ಅಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯರ ಮೇಲೆ -ರಾ ಮಾಡುವಂತಾ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು
ಸಬ ಜಾಲ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಎರಡು ಸಾವಿರದ ಅನ್ನಸಾರು ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು
ಬು ಅನ್ನೋ ಮಾತಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಜಾರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ದರಿಯಾಡಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು
ಡೆದಳ್ಳ ಚಿಕ್ಕವಳಕ್ಕೂರು ಮಾಡಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕದಾಗಿ-ರಾ ಮೂರು ಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯರ
ಮೀರಿದ ಮಾನಸುಪ್ತರ ಪ್ಲೇಸಲುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಪ್ಪಿಲು ಹಾಕಿದ
ಇಚ್ಛೆಪೂರ್ವಕ ಮಾಡುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನ ನಡಾ ಅವರಿಗೆ ದಂಡುಮಾಡಿ
-ರಾ ಎಂಬ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡುವದರಲ್ಲಿ -ರಾ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂಬುದ ಪ್ರಬಲಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಸಿಟ್ಟಿದ ಅನಕೂಲಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂದು ನಾನಿಯು ಬು ದೊಡ್ಡ ಎಂದು ವದಲ್ಲಾದಿ
-ರಾ ಎಂಬ ಮಾತಿನಿಂದ -ರಾಡ ದಾಂಡಿ ಇರಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಬ್ಬಿಗಿಂತ ಘೂನಪಡುಂ
ಎಂದ ಪತಾಕಿರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬಬ್ಬಿಗಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗುವುದಿಂದ ಸಂಬಂಧಕ್ಕೆ ಇದೆ
-ರಾ ಎಂಬ ಮಾತಿನಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮಿಕಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಹೊಟ್ಟಿದ ಅನಕೂಲಕ್ಕೆ ಶೇಖ
ವದಲ್ಲಾದಿ ತಾವೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅಸ್ಪೃಶ್ಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೂ ಕೆಲಸ ಬಡಿಯಲಿಟ್ಟಿ
ಸಿ ಸಮ್ಮತಿ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿ

-ರಾ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಂತ ನಮ್ಮಿ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಘೂನವಂದಚಿಕ್ಕನ್ನೆ

File No. 1034 03-04
Serial No. 1

Mysore Government
General & Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 2310 R
Date 8-4-1904

2310

1.

8 C 7

22.

Serial No. 7 of
File 103 of 1905-06.

8/16/12/04

No. 9/1562
C. 103 1905-06

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT,

The 16-12 1904.

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO [redacted] Sahukara
and other inhabitants of the Kadur District

in reply to their Petition Registered No. 2510 dated the 8th April 1904,
complaining of the inconvenience being felt owing to the Small
Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judge at Chickmagalur being
fixed at Rs 300- and praying for the re-establishment of Munsiff's
Court at Chickmagalur & Chitaldrug & a subordinate court at Kadur.

Petitioners are informed that the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja do not see sufficient reason to effect
a change in the Small Cause jurisdiction of the Subordinate Judge
of Chickmagalur settled only so lately as December 1902,
and that their application for the re-establishment of a
Munsiff's Court at Chickmagalur & a subordinate Court at
Kadur cannot be acceded to. The re-appointment of Munsiff's Court
at Chitaldrug has been sanctioned

By Order,

14.12.1904

Secretary.

14/12/04 SR 14/12/04

Copies by dy. 15/12/04

Examined by. dy 15/12/04